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Introduction

• Heifer rearing contributes a significant cost to dairying systems (Boulton, et al., 2015)

• Consistently achieving target weights for 24 month calving is critical (McNaughton & Lopdell, 2013)

• Grazed grass is an ideal option to supply a high protein and low fat diet to the growing heifer (Boulton, et al., 2013)

• Grazed grass remains the cheapest source of high quality feed in ruminant livestock systems (Shalloo, et al., 2004)
Introduction

• Pasture allowance is well recognised for its effect on **herbage intake**, **pasture utilisation** and **nutritional value** of sward in subsequent rotations (Combellas and Hodgson, 1979)

• Extensive research carried out on pasture allowances for dairy cows (reviewed by, Pérez-Prieto and Delagarde, 2013)

• Limited research has investigated factors that influence the performance of modern high PLI Holstein heifers during grazing

• Industry guidelines for pasture allowance vary from 2.0% to 3.0% of body weight for growing cattle (AHDB, 2016)
**Objective** - Investigate the optimum pasture allowance for replacement dairy heifers in their first grazing season, to achieve optimal animal growth, pasture production and grass utilisation.

**Hypothesis**

As pasture allowance increases, heifer growth will increase.

Whilst pasture production, utilisation and quality will decrease.
Materials and Methods

• Heifers groups balanced on age and weight
• 3 replicates per treatment with 8 animals per grazing group - in total 24 animals per treatment and 72 animals overall
• 159 grazing days (6th April–11th Sept 2017)
• Heifer live weight and body condition scoring fortnightly
• 14 day grazing rotation at peak growth (May-June), extending to 24.5 day grazing rotation thereafter
• Compressed sward heights were recorded pre and post grazing of each paddock
Materials and Methods

- Daily DM intakes based on pre and post grazing sward yield assessments.
- Grass quality samples taken weekly, WSC, CP, ADF and ME were determined via near infrared spectrometry (0.2 m\(^2\) at estimated grazing height).
- The data were analysed using GenStat (VSN International, 2015).
- Data gathered at multiple time points on individual heifers were analysed using repeated measures analysis.
- Main effects of pasture allowance, time and their interaction were assessed, taking account of the random effects of paddock and the time intervals observed.
Figure 1. Drone Footage of paddock perimeters used to set pasture allowances at 1.8, 2.4 and 3% of live weight in kg DM/day
Results
### Table 1. Effect of pasture allowance on animal performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grazing Allowance</th>
<th>1.80%</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>2.40%</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>3.00%</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Live weight (kg)</td>
<td>194.9</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>205.9</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>215.5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Condition Score</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass Intake (kg DM)</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Live weight of heifers across treatments
Figure 2. Effect of pasture allowance on heifer live weight growth across the season
Table 2. Effect of pasture allowance on pasture performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grazing Allowance</th>
<th>1.80%</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>2.40%</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>3.00%</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grass utilisation (%)</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre grazing cover (kg/DM/ha)</td>
<td>3125</td>
<td>105.0</td>
<td>3359</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>3510</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass yield (tDM/ha)</td>
<td>11.17</td>
<td>99.24</td>
<td>11.74</td>
<td>95.59</td>
<td>12.22</td>
<td>101.77</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3. Effect of pasture allowance on pasture utilisation across the season
Figure 4. Effect of pasture allowance on post grazing sward height across the season
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Figure 5. Effect of pasture allowance on grazing area required across the season.
Table 3. Effect of pasture allowance on pasture quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grazing Allowance</th>
<th>ADF (%DM)</th>
<th>CP (% DM)</th>
<th>DM (%)</th>
<th>ME(MJ/kgDM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.80%</td>
<td>26.69</td>
<td>24.83</td>
<td>14.96</td>
<td>11.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.40%</td>
<td>26.82</td>
<td>24.74</td>
<td>15.08</td>
<td>11.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>27.04</td>
<td>24.51</td>
<td>15.15</td>
<td>11.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig.</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADF (%DM) 26.69 0.34 26.82 0.35 27.04 0.48 NS
CP (% DM) 24.83 0.41 24.74 0.42 24.51 0.44 NS
DM (%) 14.96 0.51 15.08 0.49 15.15 0.48 NS
ME(MJ/kgDM) 11.71 0.06 11.69 0.06 11.64 0.09 NS
Conclusions

Evidence from this study has shown Holstein dairy heifers’ can exceed live weight gains of 0.80 kg day solely from grazed grass.

Increasing pasture allowance from 1.8% to 3.0% improved heifer daily live weight gain by 0.18 kg day across the season, whilst pasture utilisation dropped 14.2%.

Pasture production and pasture quality were not significantly affected by pasture allowance treatment.

Increasing pasture allocation from 1.8% to 3.0% required an additional 50% of grazing area.

Within this study a 2.4% pasture allowance was the optimal balance between animal and pasture performance.
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